First-principles simulations of materials with SIESTA

Spin-Orbit Coupling

Ramón Cuadrado

Computational Materials Discovery Group School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, UK









EXCELENCIA SEVERO OCHOA

Institut Català de Nanociència i Nanotecnologia

Summary

- Motivation
- What does the Spin-Orbit coupling mean?
- Pseudopotentials and Spin-Orbit
- SIESTA and Spin-Orbit (scalar vs. fully relativistic approx.)
- Some examples



CECAM Flagship School, 28th June - 2nd July 2021: Spin-Orbit Coupling in SIESTA







What does the Spin-Orbit physically represent?

The Spin-Orbit coupling is a relativistic effect



How we can introduce the SO contribution within the total Hamiltonian in SIESTA?



CECAM Flagship School, 28th June - 2nd July 2021: Spin-Orbit Coupling in SIESTA

What does ATOM give us after solve the Dirac eq.?

ATOM PROGRAM: https://departments.icmab.es/leem/siesta/Pseudopotentials/index.html

We want substitute the scalar relativistic operator V^{ps} by its fully relativistic version:

CECAM Flagship School, 28th June - 2nd July 2021: Spin-Orbit Coupling in SIESTA

Fully relativistic operator V^{ps} and Spin-Orbit:



Magnetic Anisotropy Energy of CoPt-L1₀ NP

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 86, 224415 (2012)

Electronic and magnetic properties of bimetallic $L1_0$ cuboctahedral clusters by means of fully relativistic density-functional-based calculations

R. Cuadrado and R. W. Chantrell Department of Physics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom (Received 25 July 2012; revised manuscript received 6 November 2012; published 18 December 2012)

Total energy vs. magnetizations angles



SIESTA manual and Spin-Orbit:

6.8 Spin-Orbit coupling

SIESTA includes the posibility to perform fully relativistic calculations by means of the inclusion in the total Hamiltonian not only the Darwin and velocity correction terms (Scalar–Relativistic calculations), but also the spin-orbit (SO) contribution. There are two approaches regarding the SO formalism: on-site and off-site. Within the on-site approximation only the intra-atomic SO contribution is taken into account. In the off-site scheme additional neighboring interactions are also included in the SO term. By default, the off-site SO formalism is switched on, being necessary to change the **Spin** flag in the input file if the on-site approximation wants to be used. See **Spin** on how to handle the spin-orbit coupling.

The on-site spin-orbit scheme in this version of SIESTA has been implemented by Dr. Ramón Cuadrado based on the original on-site SO formalism and implementation developed by Prof. Jaime Ferrer and his collaborators *et al* (L Fernández–Seivane, M Oliveira, S Sanvito, and J Ferrer, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, **18**, 7999 (2006); L Fernández–Seivane and Jaime Ferrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 183401 (2007)).

The off-site scheme has been implemented by Dr. Ramón Cuadrado and Dr. Jorge I. Cerdá based on their initial work (R. Cuadrado and J. I. Cerdá "Fully relativistic pseudopotential formalism under an atomic orbital basis: spin-orbit splittings and magnetic anisotropies", J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 086005 (2012); "In-plane/out-of-plane disorder influence on the magnetic anisotropy of $Fe_{1-y}Mn_yPt-L1(0)$ bulk alloy", R. Cuadrado, Kai Liu, Timothy J. Klemmer and R. W. Chantrell, Applied Physics Letters, 108, 123102 (2016)).

The inclusion of the SO term in the Hamiltonian (and in the Density Matrix) causes an increase in the number of non-zero elements in their off-diagonal parts, i.e., for some (μ, ν) pair of basis orbitals, $\mathbf{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\sigma'}$ ($\mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\sigma'}$) [$\sigma, \sigma' = \uparrow, \downarrow$] will be $\neq 0$. This is mainly due to the fact that the $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ operator will promote the mixing between different spin-up/down components. In addition, these $\mathbf{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\sigma'}$ (and $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\sigma'}$) elements will be complex, in contrast with typical polarized/non-polarized calculations where these matrices are purely real. Since the spin-up and spin-down manifolds are essentially mixed, the solver has to deal with matrices whose dimensions are twice as large as for the collinear (unmixed) spin problem. Due to this, we advise to take special attention to the memory needed to perform a spin-orbit calculation.

Unless explicitly advised the following type of calculation can be carried out regardless of whether on-site or off-site approximation is employed:

- Selfconsistent calculations for gamma point as well as for bulks.
- Structure optimizations
- Magnetic Anisotropy Energy (MAE) can be easily calculated. From first principles it is obtained after subtracting the total selfconsistent energy calculated for two different magnetic orientations. In SIESTA it is possible to perform calculations with different initial magnetic orderings by means of the use of the block **DM.InitSpin** in the fdf file. In doing so one will be able to include the initial orientation angles of the magnetization for each atom, as well as an initial value of its net magnetic moments.
- By means of Mulliken analysis, after the selfconsistent procedure, local spin and orbital moments can be calculated by means of the flags WriteMullikenPop and WriteOrbMom.

Note: Due to the small SO contribution to the total energy, the level of precision required to perform a proper fully relativistic calculation during the selfconsistent process is quite demanding. The following values must be carefully converged and checked for each specific system to assure that the results are accurate enough: SCF.H.Tolerance during the selfconsistency (typically between $10^{-3} \text{ eV} - 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$), ElectronicTemperature, k–point sampling and high values of MeshCutoff (specifically for extended solids). In general, one can say that a good calculation will have high number of k–points, low ElectronicTemperature, extremely small SCF.H.Tolerance and high values of MeshCutoff. We encourage the user to test carefully these options for each system. An additional point to take into account is the mixing scheme employed. You are encouraged to use SCF.Mix Hamiltonian (currently this is the default) instead of density matrix mixing, since it speeds up the convergence. The pseudopotentials have to be properly generated and tested for each specific system and they have to be in their fully relativistic form, together with the non-linear core corrections. Finally it is worth to mention that the selfconsistent convergence for some non-highly symmetric magnetizations directions with respect to the physical symmetry axis could still be difficult.

Spin.OrbitStrength 1.0

(real)

(logical)

It allows to vary the strength of the spin-orbit interaction from zero to any positive value. It can be used for both the on-site and off-site SOC flavors, but only for debugging and testing purposes, as the only physical value is 1.0. Note that this feature is implemented by modifying the SO parts of the semilocal potentials read from a .psf file. Care must be taken when re-using any .ion files produced.

WriteOrbMom false

If true, a table is provided in the output file that includes an estimation of the vector orbital magnetic moments, in units of the Bohr magneton, projected onto each orbital and also onto each atom. The estimation for the orbital moments is based on a two-center approximation, and makes use of the Mulliken population analysis.

If MullikenInScf is true, this information is printed at every scf step.

SIESTA and Spin-Orbit:

- Total Energy calculations including E^{SO} : $E^{SO} = Tr(\hat{\rho}\hat{V})$
- SO contribution to the forces:
- Bands structure.
- Mulliken analysis population: Magnetic moments.

$$M_{1}$$

$$M_{2}$$

$$M_{k}$$

$$M_{k$$

- Projected density of states.

X Y

$E^{SO} = Tr(\hat{\rho}\hat{V}^{SO}) = \sum_{i=1}^{SO} E^{SO}$	ρσσ	VSO, o	σ	7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · µv	νµ			
2550.00	50				
$\mathbf{F}_{SO}^{SO} = -\sum \frac{\partial E^{SO,SO}}{\partial E^{SO,SO}}$	siesta:	Program	's ener	y decomposition	(eV):
$I = \Delta a \mathbf{R}$	siesta:	Ebs	=	-186.088668	
aa' UKI	siesta:	Eions	=	2552.4195 <mark>8</mark> 6	
0	siesta:	Ena	=	75.153146	
	siesta:	Ekin		4650.897453	
	siesta:	Enl	=	-3 <mark>816.8</mark> 26902	
	siesta:	Eso(off	S)=	-0.0459 <mark>88</mark>	
	siesta:	Eldau	=	0.000000	
	siesta:	DEna	=	-21.150000	
tiamamanta	siesta:	DUscf	=	7. <mark>8</mark> 6744 <mark>8</mark>	
euc moments.	siesta:	DUext	=	0.00000	
	siesta:	Exc	=	-1614.095394	
	siesta:	eta*DQ	=	0.00000	
	siesta:	Emadel	=	0.00000	
$\sigma_{x}^{t} = Tr[\rho(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \sigma_{x}]$	siesta:	Emeta	=	0.00000	
	siesta:	Emolmec	=	0.00000	
	siesta:	Ekinion	=	0.00000	
$\sigma_{\rm s} = Tr \left \rho({\bf r}) \cdot \sigma_y \right $	siesta:	Eharris	=	-3270.509003	
	siesta:	Etot	=	-3270.509003	
$= T_{m} \left[c(n) - \tau \right]$	siesta:	FreeEng	=	-3270.520677	
$= IT[\rho(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \sigma_z]$					

Species: Fe								
	0rb	Charge	Spin	Svec				
1	4s	-0.1 <mark>8</mark> 131	0.01610	0.000	0.000	0.016		
2	4s	0.6 <mark>1</mark> 076	0.03126	0.000	-0.001	0.031		
3	3dxy	1.13211	0.54063	-0.003	-0.001	0.541		
4	3dyz	1.11510	0.59972	0.001	0.006	0.600		
5	3dz2	1.2154 <mark>8</mark>	0.49411	-0.003	-0.005	0.494		
6	3dxz	1.1603 <mark>8</mark>	0.39366	-0.003	0.000	0.394		
7	3dx2-y2	1.23344	0.4 <mark>8</mark> 719	0.000	-0.002	0.4 <mark>8</mark> 7		
8	3dxy	0.11 <mark>818</mark>	0.0 <mark>9</mark> 901	0.000	0.000	0.099		
9	3dyz	0.12 <mark>8</mark> 31	0.11494	0.000	0.000	0.115		
10	3dz2	0.12127	0.10262	-0.001	0.000	0.103		
11	3dxz	0.0 <mark>8</mark> 961	0.0773 <mark>8</mark>	0.000	0.000	0.077		
12	3dx2-y2	0.1 <mark>1</mark> 431	0.09747	0.000	0.000	0.097		
13	4Ppy	0.16 <mark>8</mark> 68	0.02443	0.000	0.000	0.024		
14	4Ppz	0.17519	0.01665	0.000	0.000	0.017		
15	4Ppx	0.12020	0.02 <mark>8</mark> 29	0.000	0.000	0.028		
	Total	7.32171	3.12337	-0.009	-0.002	3.123		
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 s: Fe Orb 1 4s 2 4s 3 3dxy 4 3dyz 5 3dz2 6 3dx2 7 3dx2-y2 8 3dxy 9 3dyz 10 3dz2 11 3dx2-y2 11 3dx2-y2 13 4Ppy 14 4Ppz 15 4Ppx Total 	Orb Charge 1 4s -0.18131 2 4s 0.61076 3 3dxy 1.13211 4 3dyz 1.11510 5 3dz2 1.21548 6 3dxz 1.16038 7 3dx2-y2 1.23344 8 3dxy 0.11818 9 3dyz 0.12127 11 3dxz 0.06961 12 3dx2-y2 0.11431 13 4Ppy 0.16868 14 4Ppz 0.17519 15 4Ppx 0.12020 Total 7.32171	Orb Charge Spin 1 4s -0.12131 0.01610 2 4s 0.61076 0.03126 3 3dyy 1.13211 0.54063 4 3dyy 1.1510 0.59972 5 3dz2 1.21548 0.49411 6 3dxz 1.16038 0.39366 7 3dx2-y2 1.23344 0.49719 8 3dyz 0.11818 0.09901 9 3dyz 0.12231 0.11421 0 3dz2 0.12127 0.10262 11 3dx2 0.02961 0.07738 12 3dx2-y2 0.11431 0.09747 13 4Ppy 0.16666 0.02443 14 4Ppz 0.17519 0.01665 15 4Ppx 0.12020 0.028297 Total 7.32171 3.12337	orb Charge Spin Svec 1 4s -0.18131 0.01610 0.000 2 4s 0.61076 0.03126 0.000 3 3dxy 1.13211 0.54063 -0.033 4 3dyz 1.11510 0.59972 0.001 5 3dz2 1.21548 0.49411 -0.003 6 3dxz 1.16038 0.39366 -0.003 7 3dx2-y2 1.23344 0.48719 0.0006 9 3dyz 0.11018 0.09901 0.000 9 3dyz 0.12314 0.48719 0.000 9 3dyz 0.12314 0.49719 0.000 9 3dyz 0.12271 0.14040 0.000 13 3dx2-y2 0.123171 0.14040 0.000 13 3dx2-y2 0.11431 0.09747 0.000 13 3dx2-y2 0.11431 0.02437 0.000 13 4Ppy	Is: Fe Orb Charge Spin Svec 1 4s -0.18131 0.01610 0.000 0.000 2 4s 0.61076 0.03126 0.000 -0.001 3 3dxy 1.13211 0.54063 -0.003 -0.001 4 3dyz 1.11510 0.59972 0.001 0.006 5 3dz2 1.21548 0.49411 -0.003 -0.005 6 3dxz 1.16038 0.39366 -0.003 -0.002 8 3dxy 0.11818 0.09901 0.000 -0.002 8 3dxy 0.11818 0.09901 0.000 0.000 9 3dyz 0.12217 0.10262 -0.001 0.000 10 3dz2 0.12217 0.0262 -0.001 0.000 13 3dx2-y2 0.1431 0.09747 0.000 0.000 13 3dx2-y2 0.1431 0.09747 0.000 0.000 13		

Thank you very much for your attention

CECAM Flagship School, 28th June - 2nd July 2021: Spin-Orbit Coupling in SIESTA